

**$\eta'(958)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$  **$\eta'(958)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>957.78 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
957.793±0.054±0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ±0.2 ±0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC $1.68\text{ }pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
957.46 ±0.33		DUANE	74	MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
958.2 ±0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2\text{ }K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
958 ±1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC $2.9\text{ }K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ±1.1	3415	<sup>1</sup> BASILE	71	CNTR $1.6\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
957.5 ±0.2		BAI	04J	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ±1	630	<sup>2</sup> BELADIDZE	92C	VES $36\text{ }\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta\text{Be}$
958 ±1	340	<sup>2</sup> ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG $300\text{ }pp \rightarrow pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ±0.4	622	<sup>2</sup> AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ±0.2	2420	<sup>2</sup> AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ±1.0	143	<sup>2</sup> GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ±1.4	535	<sup>3</sup> BASILE	71	CNTR $1.6\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
957 ±1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7\text{--}2.7\text{ }K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using all  $\eta'$  decays.<sup>2</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated.<sup>3</sup> Using  $\eta'$  decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71  $\eta'$  mass measurement. **$\eta'(958)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>0.188±0.006 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.230±0.021 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.226±0.017±0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI	10	MMS	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$
0.40 ±0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	$1.68\text{ }pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
0.28 ±0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	$0\text{ }\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.20 ±0.04		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

 **$\eta'(958)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(42.5 ±0.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_2 \rho^0\gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ )	(29.5 ±0.4 ) %	
$\Gamma_3 \rho^0\gamma$		
$\Gamma_4 \pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(22.4 ±0.5 ) %	

$\Gamma_5$	$\omega\gamma$	( 2.52 $\pm$ 0.07 ) %		
$\Gamma_6$	$\omega e^+ e^-$	( 2.0 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_7$	$\gamma\gamma$	( 2.307 $\pm$ 0.033 ) %		
$\Gamma_8$	$3\pi^0$	( 2.50 $\pm$ 0.17 ) $\times 10^{-3}$		
$\Gamma_9$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	( 1.13 $\pm$ 0.28 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_{10}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	( 2.0 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-5}$		
$\Gamma_{11}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	( 3.61 $\pm$ 0.17 ) $\times 10^{-3}$		
$\Gamma_{12}$	$(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ S-wave	( 3.8 $\pm$ 0.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$		
$\Gamma_{13}$	$\pi^\mp \rho^\pm$	( 7.4 $\pm$ 2.3 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_{14}$	$\pi^0 \rho^0$	< 4 %	90%	
$\Gamma_{15}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	( 8.4 $\pm$ 0.9 ) $\times 10^{-5}$		
$\Gamma_{16}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.4 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_{17}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ neutrals	< 1 %	95%	
$\Gamma_{18}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$	< 1.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{19}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) 2\pi^0$	< 1 %	95%	
$\Gamma_{20}$	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 3.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{21}$	$K^\pm \pi^\mp$	< 4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{22}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	( 2.42 $\pm$ 0.10 ) $\times 10^{-3}$		
$\Gamma_{23}$	$\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{24}$	$\gamma e^+ e^-$	( 4.91 $\pm$ 0.27 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_{25}$	$\pi^0 \gamma\gamma$	( 3.20 $\pm$ 0.24 ) $\times 10^{-3}$		
$\Gamma_{26}$	$\pi^0 \gamma\gamma$ (non resonant)	( 6.2 $\pm$ 0.9 ) $\times 10^{-4}$		
$\Gamma_{27}$	$\eta \gamma\gamma$	< 1.33 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{28}$	$4\pi^0$	< 4.94 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{29}$	$e^+ e^-$	< 5.6 $\times 10^{-9}$	90%	
$\Gamma_{30}$	invisible	< 6 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%	

**Charge conjugation ( $C$ ), Parity ( $P$ ),  
Lepton family number ( $LF$ ) violating modes**

$\Gamma_{31}$	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	$P, CP$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{32}$	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$P, CP$	< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{33}$	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$C$	[a] < 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{34}$	$\eta e^+ e^-$	$C$	[a] < 2.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{35}$	$3\gamma$	$C$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{36}$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0$	$C$	[a] < 6.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{37}$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \eta$	$C$	[a] < 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{38}$	$e\mu$	$LF$	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%

[a]  $C$  parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 20 branching ratios uses 52 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 69.5$  for 44 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_2$	-25						
$x_4$	-75 -43						
$x_5$	-7 -6 -2						
$x_7$	-11	-7	9	-1			
$x_8$	-17	-10	19	0	2		
$x_{11}$	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	
$x_{22}$	-8	30	-14	-2	-2	-3	0
$\Gamma$	11	-10	-1	1	-40	0	0
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_{11}$
							$x_{22}$

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
$\Gamma_1$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	$0.0799 \pm 0.0029$
$\Gamma_2$	$\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ )	$0.0554 \pm 0.0019$
$\Gamma_4$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	$0.0421 \pm 0.0017$
$\Gamma_5$	$\omega \gamma$	$0.00474 \pm 0.00020$
$\Gamma_7$	$\gamma \gamma$	$0.00434 \pm 0.00013$
$\Gamma_8$	$3\pi^0$	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{11}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{22}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	$(4.54 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4}$

## $\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$		$\Gamma_7$
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<b><math>4.34 \pm 0.14</math> OUR FIT</b>		<u>TECN</u>
<b><math>4.28 \pm 0.19</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>		<u>COMMENT</u>
4.17 $\pm 0.10 \pm 0.27$	2000	<sup>1</sup> ACCIARRI 98Q L3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.53 $\pm 0.29 \pm 0.51$	266	KARCH 92 CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
3.61 $\pm 0.13 \pm 0.48$		<sup>2</sup> BEHREND 91 CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
4.6 $\pm 1.1 \pm 0.6$	23	BARU 90 MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
4.57 $\pm 0.25 \pm 0.44$		BUTLER 90 MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$
5.08 $\pm 0.24 \pm 0.71$	547	<sup>3</sup> ROE 90 ASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$

$3.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	34	AIHARA	88C TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	136	<sup>4</sup> WILLIAMS	88 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
$4.7 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	143	<sup>5</sup> GIDAL	87 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.0 \pm 0.9$		<sup>6</sup> BARTEL	85E JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> No non-resonant  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  contribution found.<sup>2</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$ .<sup>3</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$ .<sup>4</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$ .<sup>5</sup> Superseded by BUTLER 90.<sup>6</sup> Systematic error not evaluated. **$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$**  **$\Gamma_{29}$** 

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>1,2</sup> ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
$<2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
$<2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

<sup>1</sup> Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.<sup>2</sup> Using  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  branching fractions from PDG 14. **$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$** 

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $\gamma\gamma$  and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the  $\gamma\gamma$  annihilation.

 **$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**   **$\Gamma_7 \Gamma_2/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.28 ± 0.04 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1.26 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.09 ± 0.04 ± 0.13		BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho(770)^0 \gamma$
1.35 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		AIHARA	87 TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.13 ± 0.04 ± 0.13	867	ALBRECHT	87B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.53 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		ALTHOFF	84E TASS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.14 ± 0.08 ± 0.11	243	BERGER	84B PLUT	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.73 ± 0.34 ± 0.35	95	JENNI	83 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
1.49 ± 0.13 ± 0.027	213	BARTEL	82B JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
1.85 ± 0.31 ± 0.24	43	BEHREND	82C CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \rho\gamma$

 **$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**   **$\Gamma_7 \Gamma_4/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.97 ± 0.04 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
<b>0.92 ± 0.06 ± 0.11</b>	<sup>1</sup> KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.95 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08$	<sup>2</sup> KARCH	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$1.00 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.10$	<sup>2,3</sup> ANTREASYAN 87		CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$ . Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by KARCH 92.

<sup>3</sup> Using  $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ .

### $\eta'(958) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$

VALUE ( $10^{-3}$ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.0	90	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	$0.958 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 15 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$  eV which we divide by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

### $\eta'(958)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>42.5 ± 0.5 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>41.24 ± 0.08 ± 1.24</b>	312k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

42.4 ± 1.1 ± 0.4	1.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
------------------	------	---------------------	---------	-----------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $0.2804 \Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.1191 ± 0.0015 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.123 ± 0.014	107	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$	
0.10 ± 0.04	10	LONDON	66	HBC	$2.24 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
0.07 ± 0.04	7	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $0.7196 \Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.306 ± 0.004 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.314 ± 0.026	281	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
---------------	-----	---------------	-----	-----------------

#### $\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

#### $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>29.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>29.90 ± 0.03 ± 0.55</b>	913k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

28.7 $\pm$ 0.7 $\pm$ 0.4	0.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
32.9 $\pm$ 3.3	298	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$
20 $\pm$ 10	20	LONDON	66	HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
34 $\pm$ 9	35	BADIER	65B	HBC	3 $K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

33.34 $\pm$ 0.06 $\pm$ 1.60	970k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
34.43 $\pm$ 0.52 $\pm$ 1.97	970k	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	18C	BES3	$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ , and box anomaly components.

<sup>2</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ , and  $\rho(1450)$  components.

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.694  $\pm$  0.014 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

**0.683  $\pm$  0.020 OUR AVERAGE**

0.677 $\pm$ 0.024 $\pm$ 0.011	PEDLAR	09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
0.69 $\pm$ 0.03	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$

$\Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.972  $\pm$  0.020 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

**0.97  $\pm$  0.09 OUR AVERAGE**

0.70 $\pm$ 0.22	AMSLER	04B	CBAR	$0\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	
1.07 $\pm$ 0.17	BELADIDZE	92C	VES	$36\pi^-\text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta\text{Be}$	
0.92 $\pm$ 0.14	473	DANBURG	73	HBC	$2.2K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
1.11 $\pm$ 0.18	192	JACOBS	73	HBC	$2.9K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

### $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**22.4  $\pm$  0.6 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

**21.36  $\pm$  0.10  $\pm$  0.92** 52k ABLIKIM 19T BES  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

23.5 $\pm$ 1.3 $\pm$ 0.4	3.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--------------------------	------	---------------------	----	------	----------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

### $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(3\pi^0\text{decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$0.321\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.0718  $\pm$  0.0018 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11 $\pm$ 0.06	4	BENSINGER	70	DBC	$2.2\pi^+d$
-----------------	---	-----------	----	-----	-------------

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.527±0.019 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
<b>0.555±0.043±0.013</b>	PEDLAR 09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)$   $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4)$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.454±0.009 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
<b>0.43 ±0.02 ±0.02</b>	BARBERIS 98C	OMEG 450	$p p \rightarrow p_f \eta' p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.31 ±0.15	DAVIS 68	HBC	$5.5 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.52 ±0.07 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2.50 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2.489±0.018±0.074	23k	ABLIKIM 19T	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
2.55 ±0.03 ±0.16	33.2k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 15AD	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.34 ±0.30 ±0.04	70	<sup>2</sup> PEDLAR 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0593±0.0018 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>0.055 ±0.007 ±0.001</b>	PEDLAR 09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.068 ±0.013	68	ZANFINO 77	ASPK	$8.4 \pi^- p$

 $\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.113±0.004 OUR FIT</b>			
<b>0.147±0.016</b>	ALDE 87B	GAM2 38	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_5$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

7.71±1.34±0.54 <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 15AD BES3  $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.

 $\Gamma(\omega e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.97±0.34±0.17</b>	66	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 15AD	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))}{[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) + \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta) + \Gamma(\omega\gamma)]} = \frac{\Gamma_2}{(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)}$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.437±0.008 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

0.25 ± 0.14 DAUBER 64 HBC 1.95  $K^- p$ 

$$\frac{[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay})) + \Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{(0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma} = (0.714\Gamma_1 + 0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0864±0.0017 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.045 ± 0.029 42 RITTENBERG 69 HBC 1.7–2.7  $K^- p$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{(0.714\Gamma_1 + 0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma} = (0.714\Gamma_1 + 0.286\Gamma_4 + 0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.3897±0.0028 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

0.4 ± 0.1 39 LONDON 66 HBC 2.24  $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals}$   
0.35 ± 0.06 33 BADIER 65B HBC 3  $K^- p$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma} = \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.307±0.035 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b>2.31 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
2.331 ± 0.012 ± 0.035 71k ABLIKIM 19T BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$				
1.99 $^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ ± 0.07 114 <sup>1</sup> WICHT 08 BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm\gamma\gamma$				
2.00 ± 0.18 <sup>2</sup> STANTON 80 SPEC 8.45 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^- 2\gamma$				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.25 ± 0.16 ± 0.03 0.3k <sup>3</sup> PEDLAR 09 CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$				
1.8 ± 0.2 6000 <sup>4</sup> APEL 79 NICE 15–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$				
2.5 ± 0.7 DUANE 74 MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$				
1.71 ± 0.33 68 DALPIAZ 72 CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$				
2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ 31 HARVEY 71 OSPK 3.65 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$				

<sup>1</sup> WICHT 08 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.04 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>2</sup> Includes APEL 79 result.<sup>3</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.<sup>4</sup> Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)}{\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1} = \Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0543±0.0012 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
<b>0.053 ± 0.004 ± 0.001</b>	PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$		

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma \text{ (including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0783±0.0016 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
<b>0.080 ±0.008</b>	ABLIKIM	06E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.1031±0.0028 OUR FIT</b>			
<b>0.105 ±0.010 OUR AVERAGE</b>			Error includes scale factor of 1.9.
0.091 ± 0.009	AMSLER	93 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.112 ± 0.002 ± 0.006	ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta \text{ (neutral decay)})$   $\Gamma_7/0.714\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.144±0.004 OUR FIT</b>				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.188±0.058      16      APEL      72      OSPK      3.8  $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

 $\Gamma(\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $(0.714\Gamma_4+0.09\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.185±0.004 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.185±0.022      535      BASILE      71      CNTR      1.6  $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$   
 0.189±0.026      123      RITTENBERG 69      HBC      1.7–2.7  $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.50 ±0.17 OUR FIT</b>				

**3.57 ±0.26 OUR AVERAGE**

3.522±0.082±0.254      2015      ABLIKIM      17      BES3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$   
 4.79 ±0.59 ±1.14      183      <sup>1</sup>ABLIKIM      15P      BES3       $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.56 ±0.22 ±0.34      309      <sup>2</sup>ABLIKIM      12E BES3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

 $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>112± 8 OUR FIT</b>				

**78±10 OUR AVERAGE**

86±19	235	BLIK	08 GAMS	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74±15		ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75±18		BINON	84 GAM2	30–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_7$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>4.9±1.2</b>	33	VIKTOROV	80 CNTR	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.95±0.37±0.03</b>		53	1 ABLIKIM	21I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
< 2.9	90	2	ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<24	90	3	NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 21I reports  $(1.97 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.21 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best value  $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>2</sup> Using  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$  from PDG 12.

<sup>3</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.5</b>	90	1 NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .				

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;1.0</b>	90	ABLIKIM	130 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>3.61 ±0.18 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>3.61 ±0.18 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
3.591±0.054±0.174	6067	ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
4.28 ±0.49 ±1.11	78	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	15P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-3\pi$
3.7 <sup>+1.1</sup> <sub>-0.9</sub> ±0.4		<sup>2</sup> NAIK	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

**• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •**

3.83 ±0.15 ±0.39      1014      <sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM      12E BES3       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

<sup>3</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

 $\Gamma((\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \text{ S-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>37.63±0.77±5.00</b>	6580	1 ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature .

 $\Gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>7.44±0.60±2.23</b>	1231	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	17 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^\mp\rho^\pm)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature .

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>8.5 \pm 0.4</math> OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		

**$8.27^{+2.49}_{-2.12} \pm 0.04$**       20      <sup>1</sup> NAIK      09      CLEO       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.04</b>	90	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>8.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1</math></b>	199	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	14M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 24      90      <sup>2</sup> NAIK      09      CLEO       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$   
<1000      90      RITTENBERG 69      HBC       $1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 14M reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] = (4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.6</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1.79 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.02</math></b>	84	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	14M	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<27      90      <sup>2</sup> NAIK      09      CLEO       $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 14M reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] = (9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;6</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.01	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.002	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09. $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+\text{MM}$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$  We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.  $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

&lt;0.01 90 LONDON 66 HBC Compilation

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-5}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 3.1	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 13U	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$  We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.  $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

< 53 90 <sup>2</sup> NAIK 09 CLEO  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ <500 95 KALBFLEISCH 64B HBC  $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ <sup>1</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ .<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09. $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.2	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$  $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.3 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	90	ABLIKIM 16M	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>2.42 \pm 0.10</math> OUR FIT</b>					

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.11 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.14$	429	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
$2.5 \begin{array}{l} +1.2 \\ -0.9 \end{array} \pm 0.5$		<sup>2</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$  from PDG 12.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>5.69 \pm 0.25</math> OUR FIT</b>				
<b><math>5.51 \begin{array}{l} +3.00 \\ -2.30 \end{array} \pm 0.03</math></b>	8	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14^{+7}_{-5} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$  $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>8.20 \pm 0.31</math> OUR FIT</b>				
<b><math>8.20 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.27</math></b>	2584	ABLIKIM	21J	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7.2 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	130	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 21J.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+e^-\nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;5.0</b>	90	ABLIKIM	13G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

 $\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.9	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^-$

 $\Gamma(\gamma e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$  $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma_7$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>2.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07</math></b>	864	ABLIKIM	150	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>3.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.23</math></b>	3.4k	ABLIKIM	17T	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$
<b><math>6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67</math></b>	655	ABLIKIM	17T	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma_4$
<b>&lt;37</b>	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$	

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$
<b><math>&lt;1.33 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	ABLIKIM	19AW	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma 2\gamma$	

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$
<b><math>&lt;4.94 \times 10^{-5}</math></b>	90	ABLIKIM	20E	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$	
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	DONSKOV	14	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta'n$	

 $\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma_4$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
<b>&lt;23</b>	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38\pi^- p \rightarrow n8\gamma$	

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$
<b><math>&lt; 5.6 \times 10^{-9}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$	

**• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •**

$<12 \times 10^{-9}$	90	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	

<sup>1</sup> Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using  $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$  MeV.<sup>2</sup> Using  $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$  keV,  $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$ , and  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$ . $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					

 $<9.5$       90      <sup>1</sup> NAIK      09      CLEO     $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$ <sup>1</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09. $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma_7$
<b>&lt;2.4</b>	90	ABLIKIM	13	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$	

**• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •**

$<6.69$	90	ABLIKIM	06Q	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$	
---------	----	---------	-----	------------------------------------	--

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$  $\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
<2.1	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .				

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt; 0.18</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	17D	LHCb $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
< 0.5	90	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	11G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
< 29	90	<sup>3</sup> MORI	07A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 3.3	90	<sup>4</sup> MORI	07A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
<800	95	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
<200	90	RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using branching fractions of  $D_{(s)}^+$  decays from PDG 15.<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best value  $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$ .<sup>3</sup> Taking into account interference with the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  continuum.<sup>4</sup> Without interference with the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  continuum. $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt; <math>4 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$
<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$ .				

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$  $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;45</b>	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt; 1.4</b>	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^-$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

 $\Gamma(\eta e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt; 2.4</b>	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^-$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>
<4.6	90

 $\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
ALDE	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-5}$ )	<u>CL%</u>
<6.0	90

 $\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$ 

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
DZHELYADIN 81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-5}$ )	<u>CL%</u>
<1.5	90

 $\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$ 

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
DZHELYADIN 81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

 $\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>
<4.7	90

 $\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$ 

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
BRIERE	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$

 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$  DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables;  $\alpha$  is complex and C, and D are real-valued.

Parameters C and D are not necessarily equal to c and d, respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decays. Because of different initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

 $\text{Re}(\alpha)$  decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
-0.034 $\pm$ 0.002 $\pm$ 0.002	351k	ABLIKIM	18	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.054 $\pm$ 0.004 $\pm$ 0.001	56k	ABLIKIM	18	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.033 $\pm$ 0.005 $\pm$ 0.003	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.072 $\pm$ 0.012 $\pm$ 0.006	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- A^*$
-0.021 $\pm$ 0.018 $\pm$ 0.017	6.7k	<sup>3</sup> BRIERE	00	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- X$
-0.058 $\pm$ 0.013 $\pm$ 0.003	5.4k	<sup>4</sup> ALDE	86	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.08 $\pm$ 0.03		<sup>4,5</sup> KALBFLEISCH 74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ , and  $D = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .

<sup>5</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JA-COBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

***Im(α) decay parameter***

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.000±0.019±0.001	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.000±0.038±0.002	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.000±0.049±0.001	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.0 ± 0.1 ± 0.0	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
-0.00 ± 0.13 ± 0.00	5.4k	<sup>3</sup> ALDE	86	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0.0 ± 0.3		<sup>3,4</sup> KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .<sup>4</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.***C decay parameter***

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0027±0.0024±0.0015	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.020 ± 0.018 ± 0.004	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.***D decay parameter***

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.053±0.004±0.004	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.061±0.009±0.005	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.059±0.012±0.004	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.066±0.030±0.015	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28\pi^-A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A^*$
0.00 ± 0.03 ± 0.00	5.4k	<sup>3</sup> ALDE	86	GAM2 $38\pi^-p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
0		<sup>3,4</sup> KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .<sup>4</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

## $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are Dalitz variables and  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  are real-valued parameters.  
 May be different for  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decays.  
 We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

### **a decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
-0.056±0.004±0.002	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.087±0.009±0.006	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.074±0.008±0.006	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.072±0.007±0.008		<sup>1</sup> GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.047±0.011±0.003	44k	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.066±0.016±0.003	15k	<sup>3</sup> BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.127±0.016±0.008	20k	<sup>4</sup> DOROFEEV	07	VES $27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

<sup>2</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>3</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decay.

<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  decay.

### **b decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
-0.049±0.006±0.006	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.073±0.014±0.005	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.063±0.014±0.005	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.052±0.001±0.002		<sup>1</sup> GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.069±0.019±0.009	44k	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.063±0.028±0.004	15k	<sup>3</sup> BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.106±0.028±0.014	20k	<sup>4</sup> DOROFEEV	07	VES $27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

<sup>2</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>3</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decay.

<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  decay.

### **c decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
0.0027±0.0024±0.0018	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.019 ± 0.011 ± 0.003	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.107 ± 0.096 ± 0.003	15k	<sup>2</sup> BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
0.015 ± 0.011 ± 0.014	20k	<sup>3</sup> DOROFEEV	07	VES $27\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.<sup>2</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decay.<sup>3</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  decay.**d decay parameter**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
-0.063±0.004±0.003	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
-0.074±0.009±0.004	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.050±0.009±0.005	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.051±0.008±0.006		<sup>1</sup> GONZALEZ-S..	18A	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
-0.073±0.012±0.003	44k	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.018±0.078±0.006	15k	<sup>3</sup> BLIK	09	GAM4 32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.082±0.017±0.008	20k	<sup>4</sup> DOROFEEV	07	VES 27 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$ , $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.<sup>2</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.<sup>3</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decay. If  $c \equiv 0$  from Bose-Einstein symmetry,  $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$ .<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  decay.

**$\eta'(958) \beta$  PARAMETER**  
 **$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$**

See the “Note on  $\eta$  Decay Parameters” in our 1994 edition Physical Review  
**D50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

 **$\beta$  decay parameter**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
-0.640±0.046±0.047	1.8k	ABLIKIM	15G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08	GAMS 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE	87B	GAM2 38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n3\pi^0$

 **$\eta'(958) C$ -NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER**

See the note on  $\eta$  decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings  
for definition of this parameter.

**DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR  $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
-0.019±0.056		AIHARA	87	TPC $2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-0.069±0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75	STRC 2.1 $\pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75	HBC 2.18 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65	HBC 2.1–2.7 $K^- p$

## $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\ell^+\ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass  $\Lambda$ , via slope  $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$ . See e.g. LANDS-BERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.62±0.17 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.60±0.17±0.08	864	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	150	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$
1.7 ±0.4	33	<sup>1</sup> VIKTOROV	80	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> In the single-pole Ansatz where slope =  $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$  with  $\Lambda$ ,  $\gamma$  being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

## $\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	21I	PR D103 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21J	PR D103 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20E	PR D101 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AW	PR D100 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18	PR D97 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADLARSON	18A	PR D98 012001	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
GONZALEZ-S...	18A	EPJ C78 758	S. Gonzalez-Solis, E. Passemar	(BEIJ, IND+)
AAIJ	17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17T	PR D96 012005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 $\pi$ Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 $\pi$ Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PR D97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)

BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535 Translated from YAF 55	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bityukov, G.V. Borisov (SERP+)
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i> (ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i> (CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i> (DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i> (MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i> (Mark II Collab.)
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i> (ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i> (TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273 Translated from YAF 48	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i> (TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i> (LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i> (LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i> (SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i> (JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg (SERP)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i> (TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger (PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i> (SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i> (SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i> (JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i> (CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i> (CELLO Collab.)
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i> (SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i> (OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520 Translated from YAF 32	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i> (SERP)
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci (KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i> (LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino <i>et al.</i> (CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i> (+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman (BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i> (LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch (BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i> (BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i> (BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i> (BNL)
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i> (KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i> (CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i> (CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i> (MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i> (WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg (LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i> (NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i> (BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i> (EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch (LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i> (UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg (LRL) JP

---